1 2	TERRY GODDARD Attorney General Firm State Bar No. 14000		
3	ELIZABETH A. CAMPBELL		
4	Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. 018311 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997		
5			
6	Tel: (602) 542-7681 Fax: (602) 364-3202		
7	Attorneys for the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy		
8	BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9			
10	In the Matter of		
11	CAROL HERSEY	Board Case No. 11-0001-PHR	
12	Holder of License No. T005215 To Practice as a Pharmacy Technician in	CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER FOR SURRENDER	
13	the State of Arizona		
14		•	
15	In the interest of a prompt and judiciou	s settlement of this case, consistent with the	
16	public interest, statutory requirements and the responsibilities of the Arizona State Board		
17	of Pharmacy ("Board") under A.R.S. § 32-1901, et. seq., Carol Hersey ("Respondent")		
18	holder of Pharmacy Technician License Number T005215 in the State of Arizona, and		
19	the Board enter into the following Recitals,	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and	
20	Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final dispo	sition of this matter.	
21	RECIT	'ALS	
22	1. Respondent has read and unders	stands this Consent Agreement and has had	
23	the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the		
24	opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreemen	t with an attorney.	
ا ہے			

- 2. Respondent understands that she has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning the above-captioned matter, at which hearing she could present evidence and cross examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly and voluntarily relinquishes all right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.
- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against her.
- 5. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board Complaint No. 3794 involving allegations of unprofessional conduct against Respondent. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 6. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 7. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 8. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, she may not

revoke her acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed by the Executive Director. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.

- 9. This Consent Agreement is subject to the approval of the Board and is effective only when accepted by the Board and signed by the Executive Director. In the event that the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement, it is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value and shall not be relied upon nor introduced in any action by any party, except that the parties agree that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or any records relating thereto.
- 10. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 11. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and may be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank.
- 12. All admissions made by the Respondent in this Consent Agreement are made solely for the final disposition of this matter, and any related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, any admissions made by Respondent in this Consent Agreement are not intended for any other use, such as in the context of another regulatory agency's proceedings, or civil or

3	13. Respondent agrees that the Board will adopt the following Findings of Fac	
4	Conclusions of Law and Order.	
5	ACCEPTED AND AGREED BY RESPONDENT	
6	and town	
7	Carol Hersey Dated: 1/30/10	
8	Subscribed and sworn to before me in the County of Tima State of HRIF DUG	
9	this 3 day of July , 2010, by Carol Hersey.	
10	Touch	
11	EDWARD H. LABER Notary Public - Arizona NOTARY PUBLIC	
12	PIMA COUNTY My Commission Expires AUGUST 15, 2013 My Commission expires:	
13	FINDINGS OF FACT	
14	1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for licensing and regulating the	
15	practice of pharmacy in the State of Arizona.	
16	2. Respondent is the holder of license number T005215 to practice as a	
17	pharmacy technician in the State of Arizona.	
18	3. At all times relevant to these findings, Respondent worked as an admixture	
19	technician for Arizona Oncology in Tucson, Arizona.	
20	4. While employed at Arizona Oncology, Respondent forged prescriptions for	
21	Percocet (oxycodone/APAP), Vicodin (hydocodone/APAP), Xanax (alprazolam), and	
22	Demerol (meperidine).	
23		
24	5. Oxycodone/APAP is a Schedule II controlled substance. A.R.S. § 36-2513(A)(1)(a)(xiv).	
25		
26		
- 11		

criminal proceedings, whether in the State of Arizona or in any other state or federal

1

2 court

- 6. Meperidine is a Schedule II controlled substance. A.R.S. § 36-2513(A)(2)(s).
- 7. Hydrocodone/APAP is a Schedule III controlled substance. A.R.S. § 36-2514(A)(5)(d).
- 8. Alprazolam is a Schedule IV controlled substance as defined in A.R.S. § 36-2515(A)(2)(a).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901 et seq.
- 2. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1927.01(A)(1), the Board may discipline a pharmacy technician who has engaged in unprofessional conduct.
- 3. The conduct described above constitutes a violation of A.R.S. § 13-3406(A)(1) (A person may not knowingly possess or use a prescription-only drug unless the person obtains the prescription-only drug pursuant to a valid prescription of a licensed prescriber). Furthermore, a person may not knowingly obtain or procure the administration of a prescription-only drug by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or subterfuge. A.R.S. § 13-3406(A)(6). In either case, such illegal acquisition, possession or procurement of a prescription-only drug is a class 1 misdemeanor. A.R.S. § 13-3406(B)(1).
- 4. The conduct described above constitutes a violation of A.R.S. § 36-2531(E) (A person may not knowingly or intentionally acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance by means of forgery, fraud, deception or subterfuge, including the forgery or falsification of a prescription or the nondisclosure of a material fact). A person who violates A.R.S. § 36-2531(E) is guilty of a class 4 felony. A.R.S. § 36-2531(E).

25

26

1

- 5. The conduct described above constitutes a violation of A.R.S. § 36-2531(A)(1) (It is unlawful for any person who is subject to A.R.S. § 36-2521 *et seq*. to intentionally or knowingly distribute or dispense a controlled substance in violation of section 36-2525). A person who violates A.R.S. § 36-2531(A)(1) is guilty of a class 4 felony. A.R.S. § 36-2531(B).
- 6. Respondent's conduct described above constitutes a violation of A.R.S. § 32-1968(A) ("A prescription-only drug shall be dispensed only under one of the following conditions: (1) By a medical practitioner in conformance with A.R.S. § 32-1921; (2) On a written prescription order bearing the prescribing medical practitioner's manual signature; (3) On an electronically transmitted prescription order containing the prescribing medical practitioner's electronic or digital signature that is reduced promptly to writing and filed by the pharmacist; (4) On a written prescription order generated from electronic media containing the prescribing medical practitioner's electronic or manual signature. A prescription order that contains only an electronic signature must be applied to paper that uses security features that will ensure the prescription order is not subject to any form of copying or alteration; (5) On an oral prescription order that is reduced promptly to writing and filed by the pharmacist; (6) By refilling any written, electronically transmitted or oral prescription order if a refill is authorized by the prescriber either in the original prescription order, by an electronically transmitted refill order that is documented promptly and filed by the pharmacist or by an oral refill order that is documented promptly and filed by the pharmacist.").
- 7. Respondent's conduct described above constitutes a violation of A.R.S. § 32-1965(8) (Making or offering to make a forged, counterfeit, altered or photocopied

prescription or drug order for the purpose of obtaining prescription-only or controlled substance drugs).

- 8. The conduct described above constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(C)(2) ("Violating a federal or state law or administrative rule relating to the manufacture or distribution of drugs or devices.").
- 9. The conduct described above constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(C)(6) ("Committing a felony, whether or not involving moral turpitude, or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude or any drug- related offense. In either case, conviction by a court of competent jurisdiction or a plea of no contest is conclusive evidence of the commission.").
- 10. The conduct described above constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(C)(8) ("Violating a federal or state law or administrative rule relating to marijuana, prescription-only drugs, narcotics, dangerous drugs, controlled substances or precursor chemicals when determined by the board or by conviction in a federal or state court.").

<u>ORDER</u>

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, Respondent hereby **SURRENDERS** License No. T005215, which was issued to her to practice as a pharmacy technician in the State of Arizona. The surrender of Respondent's license shall be treated for all purposes, including reporting purposes, as the revocation of her license. Respondent shall not reapply for licensure in the State of Arizona or petition for reinstatement of her Arizona license for a period of at least five (5) years from the effective date of this Consent Agreement.

1	DATED this day of	
2		
3	ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
4		
5	(Seal)	
6	By: HAL WAND, R.Ph.	
7	Executive Director	
8		
9	ORIGINAL OF THE FORGOING FILED this / Co day of September, 2010, with:	
10		
11	Arizona State Board of Pharmacy 1700 West Washington, Suite 250	
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
13	EXECUTED COPY OF THE FOREGOING MAILED BY FIRST-CLASS and CERTIFIED MAIL	
14	this 16 day of September 2010, to:	
15	Carol Hersey 4521 E. 32 nd Street	
16	Tucson, Arizona 85711	
17	this //_ day of _septenes, 2010, to:	
18	Edward H. Laber, Esq. 33 N. Tucson Blvd.	
19	Tucson, Arizona 85716-4737 Attorney for Respondent	
20		
21	Elizabeth A. Campbell Assistant Attorney General	
22	1275 W. Washington Street, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
23	Attorney for the Board	
24	4 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
25	03/00/	
26		